

26922

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/15/2003 IN-5698 7421 Thomas J. Laginess 10/686,870 EXAMINER 7590 03/23/2005

BASF CORPORATION ANNE GERRY SABOURIN 26701 TELEGRAPH ROAD SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034-2442

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1711

BERMAN, SUSAN W

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/686,870	LAGINESS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan W Berman	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' ute, cause the application to become AB	oply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			`
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g., p.,, a.,.a., ee e.e.e. g	(4) (4) (1)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6164. ◆ 10/6.3	(8) 5) ☐ Notice of In 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2005	 50318

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 5, 9 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: Long spaces between words in claims 3, 5 and 12 raises the issue of whether words have been left out. Claim 9, line 3, contains a misspelling of "benzylketals". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-6 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis in claims 1 or 11 for the recitations compound "A" and compound "B" in claims 2-6 and 12-14. Claim 3 uses improper Markush language in the phrase "selected from" instead of "selected from the group consisting of". Claim 5 uses improper Markush language in the phrase "selected from the group consisting of....urethane acrylates and unsaturated polyesters and mixtures thereof". The first occurrence of "and" should be deleted. In claims 4 and 14, it is not clear whether applicant intends to claim urethane diacrylates, urethane triacrylates, etc or some other kinds of diacrylates, triacrylates, etc. There is no antecedent basis in claims 1 or 11 for the recitation "urethane acrylates" since the compound in "b" contains two or more ethylenically unsaturated groups. The "polyfunctional acrylates" are not clearly differentiated from the diacrylates and/or triacrylates. Claims 4 and 14 use improper Markush language in line 3; the first occurrence of "and" before "polyfunctional" should be deleted. In claim 6, the polyfunctional urethane acrylates are not clearly differentiated from difunctional urethane acrylates since difunctional urethane acrylates are polyfunctional. Claim 11 uses improper Markush language in step "B"; the first occurrence of "and" before "natural" should be deleted. Claim 12 uses improper Markush language in line 4; the first occurrence of "and" before "esters of acrylic Art Unit: 1711

acid" should be deleted. Claim 13 uses improper Markush language in line 4; the first occurrence of "and" before "octyl acrylate" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 01/74499 (Fenn et al). See page 2, page 5-8, page 9, second paragraph, page 11, first three paragraphs, and the examples. Compositions disclosed by WO '499 wherein the weight percents of components are within the instantly claimed ranges and the process of using said compositions anticipate the instant claims.

Claims 1-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fenn et al (6,838,177). See the Abstract, column 1, lines 4-25, column 3, line 29, to column 4, line 62, column 5, lines 13-32, and the examples. Compositions disclosed by Fenn et al wherein the weight percents of

Art Unit: 1711

components are within the instantly claimed ranges and the process of using said compositions anticipate the instant claims.

Claims 1-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fenn et al (US 2003/0059555). See the Abstract, column 1, lines 4-25, column 3, line 29, to column 4, line 62, column 5, lines 13-32, and the examples. Compositions disclosed by Fenn et al wherein the weight percents of components are within the instantly claimed ranges and the process of using said compositions anticipate the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fenn et al (6,838,177).

Fenn et al teach polymerization by exposure to UVA light but do not mention polymerization by exposure to natural light conditions. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to substitute natural light exposure for UVA light exposure because natural light provides exposure to UVA radiation. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have bee motivated by an expectation that the disclosed compositions would cure when exposed to natural light.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Smith et al (5,407,972) disclose procurable ethylenically unsaturated compounds curable with UVA and

Application/Control Number: 10/686,870 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

sunlight in 1 to 5 minutes. Bisphenyl(2,5-dimethylbenzoyl) phosphine oxide is taught as useful

photoinitiator.

GB 2 283 975 discloses compositions comprising components equivalent to instantly claimed

components a-d and f, wherein the photoinitiator can be a benzylketal. The difference is that GB '975

teaches exposure to UVA for 20 seconds followed by completing the cure by exposure to heat.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Susan W Berman whose telephone number is 571 272 1067. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James

Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner

Susan Berman

Art Unit 1711

sb

March 19, 2005